arXiv:1605.07255v1 [math.DG] 24 May 2016

# AN ALTERNATIVE PROOF OF LOWER BOUNDS FOR THE FIRST EIGENVALUE ON MANIFOLDS

YUNTAO ZHANG AND KUI WANG

Abstract. Recently, Andrews and Clutterbuck [AC13] gave a new proof of the optimal lower eigenvalue bound on manifolds via modulus of continuity for solutions of the heat equation. In this short note, we give an alternative proof of Theorem 2 in [AC13]. More precisely, following Ni's method ([Ni13, Section 6]) we give an elliptic proof of this theorem.

## 1. Introduction

The aim of this short note is to give an elliptic proof of the sharp lower bound of the first non-trivial Neumann eigenvalue on manifolds. Precisely, let $(M, g)$ be a compact Riemannian manifold (possibly with smooth convex boundary) with diameter $D$. For any given constant $\kappa$, we set

$$c_\kappa(t) = \begin{cases} \cos\sqrt{\kappa}t, & \kappa > 0, \\ 1, & \kappa = 0, \\ \cosh\sqrt{|\kappa|}t, & \kappa < 0. \end{cases}$$

Denote by $\lambda(M, g)$ the first non-trivial Neumann eigenvalue of $M$, which is characterised by

$$\lambda(M,g) = \inf\left\{ \frac{\int_M |\nabla u|^2 \, dx}{\int_M u^2 \, dx} : u \in W^{1,2}(M) \setminus 0 \quad \text{and} \int_M u \, dx = 0 \right\}.$$

Let $\mu(n, \kappa, D)$ be the first eigenvalue of a certain one-dimensional Sturm-Liouville problem, defined by

$$\frac{1}{c_\kappa^{n-1}(s)}(\Phi'(s)c_\kappa^{n-1}(s))' + \mu\Phi(s) = 0 \tag{1.1}$$

with Neumann boundary $\Phi'(\pm\frac{D}{2}) = 0$. Then eigenvalue $\lambda(M, g)$ can be bounded from below by $\mu(n, \kappa, D)$, provided by the Ricci curvature lower bound $(n-1)\kappa$. That is

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let $(M, g)$ be a compact Riemannian manifold without boundary (or with smooth convex boundary) and denote by $D$ its diameter. Assume further that* $\mathrm{Ric}_g \geq (n-1)\kappa g$. *Then*

$$\lambda(M, g) \geq \mu(n, \kappa, D). \tag{1.2}$$

*Where $\mu$ is characterised in (1.1).*

**Remark 1.2.** *Estimate (1.2) is sharp. For details we refer to section 5 in* [And15].

Theorem 1.1 was proved by serval mathematicians. Zhong and Yang [ZY84] proved the result for case $\kappa = 0$ and Kröger proved the theorem for general case. Their proofs are based on Li–Yau type gradient estimates [Li79, LY80]. More Recently, Andrews and Clutterbuck

also proved Theorem 1.1 via the modulus of continuity in [AC13], see also Andrew's survey paper [And15]. In this paper, we provide a new proof, based on Ni's elliptic proof [Ni13] of the eigenvalue fundamental gap.

## 2. An elliptic proof of Theorem 1.1

Consider the quotient of the oscillations of $\phi$ and $\Phi$ and let

$$Q(x,y)=\frac{\phi(y)-\phi(x)}{\Phi(\frac{d(x,y)}{2})}$$

on $\overline{M}\times\overline{M}\setminus\triangle$, where $\triangle:=\{(x,x):x\in\overline{M}\}$ denotes the diagonal. The function $Q$ can be extended to a set $(\overline{M}\times\overline{M}\setminus\triangle)\bigcup UM$. Here $UM:=\{(x,X):x\in M,|X|=1\}$. On $UM$, with the extension $Q(x,X)$ is defined naturally as

$$Q(x,X):=\frac{2\langle\nabla\phi(x),X\rangle}{\Phi'(0)}.$$

Now we divide the proof into two cases.

**Case 1:** the maximum of $Q$, which is clearly nonzero and denoted by $m$, is attained at some $(x_0,y_0)$ with $x_0\neq y_0$. The Neumann condition and strict convexity of $M$ forces that both $x_0$ and $y_0$ must be in $M$. Indeed if $x_0\in\partial M$, then taking derivative along normal direction $\nu$ at $x_0$ yields

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial}{\partial\nu}\Big|_{x_0}Q(x,y_0) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial\nu}\Big|_{x_0}\frac{\phi(y_0)-\phi(x)}{\Phi(\frac{d(x,y_0)}{2})}\\ &= -\frac{m\Phi'(\frac{d(x_0,y_0)}{2})}{2\Phi(\frac{d(x_0,y_0)}{2})}\frac{\partial}{\partial\nu}\Big|_{x_0}d(x,y_0)\\ &< 0,\end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction with the maximum assumption. Here in the last inequality, we used the convexity assumption of $M$.

Let $\gamma_0:[0,1]\to M$ be a minimizing geodesic joining $y_0$ and $x_0$ with $|\gamma'|=2s_0$. To compute the derivatives, we choose 'Fermi' coordinates $\{e_i(s)\}$ $(i=1,2,\cdots,n)$ along $\gamma_0$ with $e_n(s)=\frac{1}{2s_0}\gamma'(s)$.

Then the 1st derivative gives

$$\nabla\phi(y_0)=\frac{m}{2}\Phi'(s_0)e_n(1), \tag{2.1}$$

and

$$\nabla\phi(x_0)=\frac{m}{2}\Phi'(s_0)e_n(0). \tag{2.2}$$

Firstly, from

$$\frac{d^2Q(y_0+\theta e_n(1),x_0-\theta e_n(0))}{d\theta^2}\Big|_{\theta=0}\leq 0,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\geq \frac{\phi_{nn}(y_0)-\phi_{nn}(x_0)}{\Phi(s_0)}+2\frac{\phi_n(y_0)+\phi_n(x_0)}{\Phi^2(s_0)}\Phi'(s_0)-\frac{\phi(y_0)-\phi(x_0)}{\Phi^2(s_0)}\Phi''(s_0)+2m\left(\frac{\Phi'(s_0)}{\Phi(s_0)}\right)^2 \\ &= \frac{\phi_{nn}(y_0)-\phi_{nn}(x_0)}{\Phi(s_0)}-\frac{\phi(y_0)-\phi(x_0)}{\Phi^2(s_0)}\Phi''(s_0). \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

For $i \leq n-1$, we define the variation fields $V_i(s)$ along $\gamma_0(s)$ by

$$V_i(s) = \frac{c_\kappa\left((2s-1)s_0\right)}{c_\kappa(s_0)}e_i(s).$$

Then by the variation formulas, we have that

$$\left.\frac{d}{dv}\right|_{v=0}|\gamma_v| = \left.\frac{1}{2s_0}g(\gamma',V_i)\right|_0^1 = 0,$$

and

$$\left.\frac{d^2}{dv^2}\right|_{v=0}|\gamma_v| = \left.\frac{1}{2s_0}g(\gamma',\nabla_{V_i}V_i)\right|_0^1 + \frac{1}{2s_0}\int_0^1 |(\nabla_{\gamma'}V_i)^\perp|^2 - \langle R(\gamma',V_i)\gamma',V_i\rangle\ ds.$$

By the way of variation, we can require $\nabla_{V_i}V_i = 0$ for $s \in [0,1]$. Direct calculation gives

$$\frac{1}{2s_0}\int_0^1 |(\nabla_{\gamma'}V_i)^\perp|^2\ ds = 2s_0\int_0^1 (\frac{c_\kappa'\left((2s-1)s_0\right)}{c_\kappa(s_0)})^2\ ds = \int_{-s_0}^{s_0}(\frac{c_\kappa'(x)}{c_\kappa(s_0)})^2\ dx.$$

By the integration by parts, the definition of $c_\kappa$, and $c_\kappa'' + \kappa c_\kappa = 0$, we obtain

$$\int_{-s_0}^{s_0}(\frac{c_\kappa'(x)}{c_\kappa(s_0)})^2\ dx = 2\frac{c_\kappa'(s_0)}{c_\kappa(s_0)} + \int_{-s_0}^{s_0}\kappa(\frac{c_\kappa(x)}{c_\kappa(s_0)})^2\ dx.$$

Thus

$$\left.\frac{d^2}{dv^2}\right|_{v=0}|\gamma_v| = 2\frac{c_\kappa'(s_0)}{c_\kappa(s_0)} + \int_{-s_0}^{s_0}(\frac{c_\kappa(x)}{c_\kappa(s_0)})^2(\kappa - \langle R(e_n,e_i)e_n,e_i\rangle)\ dx.$$

Then from the second variation we get

$$\phi_{ii}(y_0)-\phi_{ii}(x_0)-\Phi'(s_0)m\left(2\frac{c_\kappa'(s_0)}{c_\kappa(s_0)}+\int_{-s_0}^{s_0}(\frac{c_\kappa(x)}{c_\kappa(s_0)})^2(\kappa-\langle R(e_n,e_i)e_n,e_i\rangle)\ dx\right)\leq 0.$$

Adding this inequality over $i = 1,2,\cdots,n-1$ and using the curvature condition, we assert

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}(\phi_{ii}(y_0)-\phi_{ii}(x_0)) - (n-1)m\Phi'(s_0)\frac{c_\kappa'(s_0)}{c_\kappa(s_0)} \leq 0. \tag{2.4}$$

Combining (2.3) and (2.4) we have

$$\triangle\phi(y_0)-\triangle\phi(x_0)-m\Phi''(s_0)-(n-1)m\Phi'(s_0)\frac{c_\kappa'(s_0)}{c_\kappa(s_0)}\leq 0.$$

That is

$$-\lambda(M,g)\left(\phi(y_0)-\phi(x_0)\right)+m\mu(n,\kappa,D)\Phi(s_0)\leq 0,$$

which proves $\lambda(M,g)\geq\mu(n,\kappa,D)$.

**Case 2:** the maximum of $Q$ is attained at some $(x_0, X_0) \in UM$. It is easy to see that $X_0 = \frac{\nabla\phi(x_0)}{|\nabla\phi(x_0)|}$ and $m = 2|\nabla\phi(x_0)|$. By the assumption, we know $x_0 \in M$. Indeed if $x_0 \in \partial M$, then taking derivative along normal direction $\nu$ at $x_0$ yields

$$\begin{aligned}\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial\nu}\right|_{x_0} |\nabla\phi(x)|^2 &= \left.\frac{\partial}{\partial\nu}\right|_{x_0} \langle\nabla\phi(x), \nabla\phi(x)\rangle \\ &= 2\,\mathrm{Hess}\,\phi\,(\nu, \nabla\phi(x))|_{x_0} \\ &= 2\left\langle\nabla_{\nabla\phi(x_0)}\nabla\phi(x_0), \nu\right\rangle \\ &= -2II(\nabla\phi(x_0), \nabla\phi(x_0)) \\ &< 0,\end{aligned}$$

contradicting with the maximum assumption. Here $II$ denotes the second fundamental form of $M$ at $x_0$.

Now pick up an orthonormal frame $\{e_i\}$ at $x_0$ so that $e_n = X_0$. We also parallel translate it to a neighborhood of $x_0$.

Since $|\nabla\phi(x)|^2$ attains its maximum at the interior point $x_0$, we have that

$$\phi_{kn}(x_0) = 0$$

for any $1 \le k \le n$.

Moreover, the maximum principle concludes for any $1 \le k \le n-1$,

$$0 \ge \phi_{kkn}\phi_n + |\phi_n|^2\langle R(e_k, e_n)e_k, e_n\rangle. \tag{2.5}$$

Let $x(s) = \exp_{x_0}(-se_n)$, $y(s) = \exp_{x_0}(se_n)$ and $g(s) = Q(x(s), y(s))$. Since Q achieves its maximum at $(x_0, X_0)$. We have that $g(s) \le g(0) = m$ for all $s \in (-\epsilon, \epsilon)$, which implies that $\lim_{s\to 0} g'(s) = 0$ and $\lim_{s\to 0} g''(s) \le 0$.

Direct calculation shows that

$$g'(s) = \frac{\langle\nabla\phi(y(s)), e_n(s)\rangle + \langle\nabla\phi(x(s)), e_n(-s)\rangle}{\Phi(s)} - g(s)\frac{\Phi'(s)}{\Phi(s)},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}g''(s) &= \frac{\nabla^2_{e_ne_n}\phi(y(s)) - \nabla^2_{e_ne_n}\phi(x(s))}{\Phi(s)} - 2\frac{\langle\nabla\phi(y(s)), e_n\rangle + \langle\nabla\phi(x(s)), e_n\rangle}{\Phi(s)}\frac{\Phi'(s)}{\Phi(s)} \\ &\quad -g(s)\frac{\Phi''(s)}{\Phi(s)} + 2g(s)\left(\frac{\Phi'(s)}{\Phi(s)}\right)^2.\end{aligned}$$

Observing that $\lim_{s\to 0}\frac{g'(s)}{\Phi(s)} = g''(0)$, and making use of the first equation above, the second equation implies that

$$g''(0) = 2\phi_{nnn}(x_0) - 2g''(0) - m\lim_{s\to 0}\frac{\Phi''(s)}{\Phi(s)}. \tag{2.6}$$

From the equation (1.1), it follows that

$$\lim_{s\to 0}\frac{\Phi''(s)}{\Phi(s)} = -\lim_{s\to 0}(n-1)\frac{c'_\kappa(s)}{\Phi(s)}\frac{\Phi'(s)}{c_\kappa(s)} - \mu(n,\kappa,D) = (n-1)\kappa - \mu(n,\kappa,D).$$

Then we have that

$$2\phi_{nnn}(x_0) - m(n-1)\kappa + \mu(n,\kappa,D)m \le 0. \tag{2.7}$$

Combining (2.5) and (2.7), we derive

$$2\langle\nabla\triangle\phi,\nabla\phi\rangle+2\mathrm{Ric}(e_n,e_n)|\phi_n|^2-2|\phi_n|^2(n-1)\kappa+2\mu(n,\kappa,D)|\phi_n|^2\leq 0,$$

which also implies $\lambda(M,g)\geq\mu(n,\kappa,D)$.

## 3. For $p$-Laplacian

In this section, we mainly deal with the first non-trivial Neumann eigenvalue for $p$-Laplacian. Then the corresponding eigenfunctions satisfy

$$\mathrm{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u)+\lambda_p|u|^{p-2}u=0. \tag{3.1}$$

Denote by $\lambda_p(M,g)$ the first non-trivial Neumann eigenvalue for $p$-Laplacian on $(M,g)$, and then by Rayleigh quotient $\lambda_p(M,g)$ is characterised by

$$\lambda_p(M,g)=\inf\left\{\frac{\int_M|\nabla u|^p\,dx}{\int_M|u|^p\,dx}:u\in W^{1,p}(M)\setminus 0\quad\text{and}\int_M|u|^{p-2}u\,dx=0\right\}.$$

Similarly we denote by $\mu_p(n,\kappa,D)$ the first eigenvalue of a certain one-dimensional Sturm-Liouville problem corresponding to $p$-Laplacian, i.e.

$$(p-1)|\Phi'|^{p-2}\Phi''+(n-1)\frac{c_\kappa'}{c_\kappa}|\Phi'|^{p-2}\Phi'+\mu_p(n,\kappa,D)|\Phi|^{p-2}\Phi=0 \tag{3.2}$$

with $\Phi(0)=\Phi'(\pm\frac{D}{2})=0$ and $\Phi'(0)=1$. Then for p-Laplace operator, the following theorem holds true.

**Theorem 3.1** (see [NV14])**.** *Under the same assumption as in Theorem 1.1. Then for any $1<p<\infty$ it follows that*

$$\lambda_p(M,g)\geq\mu_p(n,\kappa,D).$$

We mention here that Valtorta [Val12] proved Theorem 3.1 for the case $\kappa=0$ in 2012 and the main tool used is a gradient comparison based on a generalized p-Böchner formula. Shortly, Naber and Valtorta [NV14] proved the theorem for general $\kappa$, based on a refined gradient comparison technique and a careful analysis of the underlying model spaces. In survey paper [And15], Andrews also proved the results for $p\leq 2$ and $p>2$, based on the modulus of continulity and the height-dependent gradient estimates for solutions of the heat equation respectively.

**Remark 3.2.** *The elliptic proof in section 2 works similarly for Theorem 3.1 for case $p\leq 2$.*

Now we are in position to use the elliptic method to show Theorem 3.1 for $1<p<2$.

*Proof of Theorem 3.1 for $1<p<2$.* Firstly, we claim that for $1<p<2$, the maximum of $Q$ can not be attained in $UM$. In fact if the maximum of $Q$ is attained at some $(x_0,X_0)\in UM$, then it is easy to see that $X_0=\frac{\nabla\phi(x_0)}{|\nabla\phi(x_0)|}$ and $m=2|\nabla\phi(x_0)|$. From the equation (3.1), it follows that

$$\lim_{s\to 0}\frac{\Phi''(s)}{\Phi(s)}=-\infty,$$

contradicting with equality (2.6) and $\lim_{s\to 0}g''(s)\leq 0$. Thus we prove the claim.

The maximum of $Q$, which is clearly nonzero and denoted by $m$, is attained at some $(x_0,y_0)$ with $x_0\neq y_0$.

Recall from (2.1–2.4) that

$$0 \geq (p-1)|\frac{m}{2}\Phi'(s_0)|^{p-2}\frac{\phi_{nn}(y_0)-\phi_{nn}(x_0)}{\Phi(s_0)} - (p-1)|\frac{m}{2}\Phi'(s_0)|^{p-2}\frac{m\Phi''(s_0)}{\Phi(s_0)}, \tag{3.3}$$

and

$$|\frac{m}{2}\Phi'(s_0)|^{p-2}\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}(\phi_{ii}(y_0)-\phi_{ii}(x_0)) - (n-1)m\Phi'(s_0)|\frac{m}{2}\Phi'(s_0)|^{p-2}\frac{c_\kappa'(s_0)}{c_\kappa(s_0)} \leq 0. \tag{3.4}$$

Combining (3.3) and (3.4) we have

$$\triangle_p\phi(y_0)-\triangle_p\phi(x_0)-m(p-1)|\frac{m}{2}\Phi'(s_0)|^{p-2}\Phi''(s_0)-(n-1)m|\frac{m}{2}\Phi'(s_0)|^{p-2}\Phi'(s_0)\frac{c_\kappa'(s_0)}{c_\kappa(s_0)} \leq 0.$$

That is

$$-\lambda_p(M,g)\left(|\phi(y_0)|^{p-2}\phi(y_0)-|\phi(x_0)|^{p-2}\phi(x_0)\right)+m(\frac{m}{2})^{p-2}\mu_p(n,\kappa,D)|\Phi(s_0)|^{p-2}\Phi(s_0) \leq 0,$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned} m^{p-1}(\frac{1}{2})^{p-2}\mu_p(n,\kappa,D)\Phi^{p-1}(s_0) &\leq \lambda_p(M,g)\left(|\phi(y_0)|^{p-2}\phi(y_0)-|\phi(x_0)|^{p-2}\phi(x_0)\right) \\ &= \lambda_p(M,g)\left(|\phi(y_0)|^{p-1}+|\phi(x_0)|^{p-1}\right) \\ &\leq \frac{\lambda_p(M,g)}{2^{p-2}}\left(|\phi(y_0)|+|\phi(x_0)|\right)^{p-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the condition $1 < p \leq 2$ in the last inequality. Thus we conclude from the above inequality that

$$\lambda_p(M,g) \geq \mu_p(n,\kappa,D).$$

□

## References


[AC13] Ben Andrews and Julie Clutterbuck. Sharp modulus of continuity for parabolic equations on manifolds and lower bounds for the first eigenvalue. *Anal. PDE*, 6(5):1013–1024, 2013.

[And15] Ben Andrews. Moduli of continuity, isoperimetric profiles, and multi-point estimates in geometric heat equations. *Surveys in Differential Geometry*, 19, 2015.

[Li79] Peter Li. A lower bound for the first eigenvalue of the Laplacian on a compact manifold. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.*, 28(6):1013–1019, 1979.

[LY80] Peter Li and Shing Tung Yau. Estimates of eigenvalues of a compact Riemannian manifold. In Geometry of the Laplace operator *(Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Univ. Hawaii, Honolulu, Hawaii, 1979)*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., XXXVI, pages 205–239. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1980.

[Ni13] Lei Ni. Estimates on the modulus of expansion for vector fields solving nonlinear equations. *J. Math. Pures Appl.* (9), 99(1):1–16, 2013.

[NV14] Aaron Naber and Daniele Valtorta. Sharp estimates on the first eigenvalue of the p-Laplacian with negative Ricci lower bound. Math. Z., 277(3-4):867–891, 2014.

[Val12] Daniele Valtorta. Sharp estimate on the first eigenvalue of the p-Laplacian. *Nonlinear Anal.*, 75(13):4974–4994, 2012.

[ZY84] Jia Qing Zhong and Hong Cang Yang. On the estimate of the first eigenvalue of a compact Riemannian manifold.*Sci. Sinica Ser. A*, 27(12):1265–1273, 1984.

School of Mathematical Sciences and Statistics, Jiangsu normal University, Xuzhou, 221116, China

*E-mail address*: yuntaozhang@xznu.edu.cn

School of Mathematic Sciences, Soochow University, Suzhou, 215006, China

*E-mail address*: kuiwang@suda.edu.cn